

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,022		08/26/2003	Christopher N. Brindle	17987	3501
26794	7590	07/21/2005		EXAMINER	
		RONICS CORPOR	WELLS, KENNETH B		
4550 NEW LINDEN HILL ROAD, SUITE 450 WILMINGTON, DE 19808			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2816	
				DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sn

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/648,022	BRINDLE, CHRISTOPHER N.					
morrion Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth B. Wells	2816					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Kenneth B. Wells</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Darius C. Gambino</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 20 July 2005.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: all.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Crampton</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>applicant's representative set forth arguments as to why the current claims are not anticipated by Crampton, e.g., the circuits of Crampton Fig. 5 and instant Fig. 3 operate differently because of their different connections with respect to the lower side of the shunt capacitor and the gate/source terminals of the shunt FETs. Applicant's representative also agreed to submit technical evidence and or a declaration supporting such arguments, if possible.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ta Welle ature, if required					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)